

MT Prevention Infrastructure Input

DPHHS Chemical Dependency Bureau is seeking your input for consideration in the BG RFP as the current PFS and BG contract cycles are coming to a close. We are exploring a more efficient prevention infrastructure that maximizes federal and state resources, creates standards and demonstrates positive outcomes that directly impact the state and community priorities. **Please provide any input by August 21, 2017.**

- Timeline For new RFP: Posted in early 2018; due in the spring and awarded so funding is in place 7/1/2018.
- DPHHS Initial thoughts:
 - To develop a regionalized system
 - Lead contractor in each region, award subcontractors
 - Expand access to experienced staff for TA
 - Increase state and regional leadership on prevention initiatives, priorities, and effective activities that move the needle.

1. Regionalized System

- How might this look and work for you?
- “Prevention’s House” Give us some ideas on who/what entity might be the ideal for housing prevention in your community.

2. Workforce

How can the prevention infrastructure support prevention specialists to gain knowledge, ensure competency and elevate the profession?

IC&RC – what is your input on the length of time to give Prevention Specialist to get this certification?

3. Communication

What is working well?

Internal to your host org
Among Prevention Spec
Contractor
State Agency
SEOW-State Epidemiology Work Group
State Evidence Based Work Group
ICC Work Group
Improvements?

4. Collaboration

What is working well?

Internal to your host org

Among Prevention Spec

Contractor

State Agency

SEOW

State Evidence Based Work Group

ICC Work Group

Improvements?

5. Uniform Standards

What is the ideal for your ability to plan your prevention work with regards to your budgets and following through with your logic model?

Would having uniform standards regarding:

Supervision received

Budget control,

Data collection,

Program implementation, and

Media messaging tools help?

And what might those be?

6. Accountability/Evaluation

What kind of prevention work demonstrates accountability and outcomes?

What would evaluation look like in a regionalized system?

What kinds of evidence-based interventions are likely to be supported at the local level, and what resources do you need?

CTC?

SBIR education?

School resources?

Law Enforcement resources?

Coalition resources?

What else should we consider?

Are there any glaring gaps or concerns that prevent you from succeeding?

What are your ideas to address these gaps and concerns?

Additional constructive input can be emailed to:

vturner@mt.gov or csteele@mt.gov or bperkins@mt.gov